

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/789,963	SEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tae H. Yoon	1762	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Tae H. Yoon.

(2) Mr. Nichols.

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> PP*

Claims discussed:

*71, 83 and 85*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner suggested Examiner's amendment in order to avoid 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> PP issues and applicant agreed with it.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

/Tae H Yoon/  
Primary Examiner, AU 1762

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)